UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,270	12/15/2003	Hsien Tsung Wang	323-022-USP	1487
Hsien Tsung W	7590 01/25/200 Vang	EXAMINER		
4FL. No. 27, Lane 160			KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER	
Hsin Sheng S. Rd., Sec. 1 Taipei, 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			3763	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

NT	
pplicant(s)	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/734,270	WANG, HSIEN TSUNG				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Christopher D. Koharski	3763				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D	ecember 2003.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🗙	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		· ,				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
,—		·					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by the l	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	·	·				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	÷				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			·				
Attachmer							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/03. 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) that was submitted on 12/15/2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Priority/Specification

If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119e/120, a specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not

Art Unit: 3763

extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Art Unit: 3763

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in TAIWAN 092102168 on1/30/2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fayngold (5,120,320).

Regarding claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13 and 15, Fayngold discloses a disposable butterfly sheath assembly comprising a needle (16) having a rear end (54) coupled to plastic intravenous tubing (18) and two wings at both sides (34), a plastic sheath (22) comprising a forward aperature, two rear side slits (30), two pairs of upper female snapping members (64) and two male snapping members (66), with a latch (46) member and a forward horizontal slot (28) wherein prior to use the butterfly needle is capable of being inserted into the sheath mechanism prior to use to push the needle forward for use and then retracted by pulling the wings rearward until locked against the latch member (Figures 1-3 and 5-10).

Application/Control Number: 10/734,270

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fayngold (5,120,320) in view of Utterburg (5,704,917). Fayngold meets the claim limitations as described above except for a triangular shaped latch member.

However, Utterberg teaches a needle storage apparatus and method.

Regarding claims 4,9 and 14, Utterberg teaches a butterfly needle sheath and that uses a triangular shaped latch mechanism to lock the needle assembly (Figures 1 and 6-10).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to use the triangular latch mechanism of Utterberg to the system of Fayngold in order to more securely lock the butter needle assembly and prevent a needle stick. The references are analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Therefore, one

skilled in the art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Utterberg.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the square latch of Fayngold to a triangular shape, since it has been held the variations in shape were a matter of choice and only involves routine skill in the art. See *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ (CCPA 1966).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,270

Art Unit: 3763

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Date: 11607

Christopher D. Koharski AU 3763

CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINER